

Stonehouse Town Council



MINUTES (Subject to agreement at the next Panel Meeting)

Of a DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PANEL MEETING HELD ON MONDAY 21st SEPTEMBER 2015 COMMENCING AT 6.15PM IN THE TOWN HALL, HIGH STREET, STONEHOUSE.

Attendees are reminded that the Proceedings of this meeting may be filmed, photographed or recorded.

Present:

Councillors Gwen Atkinson, Amy Coombs, Vicky Redding , Pam Swain
Committee Clerk: Rachel Russell

DC/118 TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Carol Kambites; her e-mailed comments on agenda items were reported to the Committee.

DC/119 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

DC/120 TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PANEL MEETING HELD ON 6th JULY 2015

The Minutes were approved as a true record.

DC/121 PLANNING APPLICATIONS REQUIRING TOWN COUNCIL OBSERVATIONS

15/1971/FUL Reliance Works, Downton Road, Bridgend

Erection of 2 no. replacement industrial units. Demolition of Unit 2

This application was considered first by the Panel as Mr Kelsey, the applicant, attended the meeting and was able to answer questions and provide further information.

Support with comments:

- 1. As the replacement buildings will be higher than the existing buildings and are in a fairly prominent position there should be some effort to reduce their visual impact. Firstly, it is suggested that the frontage could be partially brickwork. Secondly, landscaping and planting should be used to soften the impact of the new buildings.**
- 2. Areas of hard standing should be constructed with a permeable surface.**

15/1684/HHOLD Greenways, Verney Road, Stonehouse

Proposed car parking space to front garden

This application had already been decided by the District Council-Permit.

15/1699/HHOLD 8, Brisbane, Stonehouse

Single storey rear extension

This application had already been decided by the District Council-Permit.

15/1781/FUL Proposed Solar Farm (Site 2) To the West of Stanley Downton Sewage Works, Leonard Stanley

4.7MW Solar Farm and associated infrastructure

15/1856/FUL Proposed Solar Farm (Site 1) ,Leonard Stanley

4.7MW Solar Farm and associated infrastructure

Support with comments: Stonehouse Town Council supports this application and welcomes the mitigation measures proposed in the Landscape and Visual Impact Appraisal to reduce the visual impact of the solar farm.

Planning Applications (continued)

15/1842/FUL **6, Storrington Place, Storrington Road, Stonehouse**
Erection of 3 no. 3 bedroom dwellings

Comment: Stonehouse Town Council do not object to the application in its current, revised version i.e. as an application for two 4 bedroom houses.

15/1882/LBC **Gardeners Cottage, Downton Road, Bridgend, Stonehouse**
Bathroom to be moved upstairs. Double bedroom to be built above kitchen.
Other alterations.

No observations

15/2082/FUL **Site for Sports Pavilion, Ebley Road, Stonehouse**
Erection of oak framed sports pavilion to replace existing

No observations

15/2109/TCA **Stonehouse Park, Sperry Way, Stonehouse**
Tree works to row of 6 previously pollarded Willow trees

No observations

Notifications for the following application was received after the agenda had been prepared:

15/2189/TCA **East Wing, Bridgend House, Downton Road, Bridgend**
Trees in a Conservation Area: Work to five trees.

No observations

DC/122 **REPORT ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS RESPONDED TO BETWEEN DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PANEL MEETINGS DUE TO STROUD DISTRICT COUNCIL DEADLINES**

15/1736/TPO **Elgin Court, High Street, Stonehouse**
Horse chestnut trees-fell. Replace with 3 Pride of India trees in the same location.

Objection (e-mailed to Stroud District Council on 14 September 2015):

It is not clear why the applicant wants to remove and replace the trees when the application states they are not dangerous or causing damage to property. The application is contradictory. In section 7, the trees are described as having large limbs falling, but a negative response is given to the question in section 8 on condition of the tree: 'do you have fears that it might break or fall'. It is suggested that the applicant provides clearer and more detailed information before a decision can be made regarding the loss of trees which are subject to Tree Preservation Orders.

The applicant was asked for further information by SDC as a result of this objection. The application was permitted.
